

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 8942 3036/50371 08/31/2001 George Malcolm Swift Joynes 09/942,926 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/04/2004 CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P. JACKSON, ANDRE K P.O. Box 14300 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Washington, DC 20044-4300 2856

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- lon
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •
	09/942,926	JOYNES, GEORGE SWIFT	MALCOLM
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	André K. Jackson	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-10,13 and 15-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has argued that Kiewit does not disclose all of the limitations of claim 1 in particular Kiewit is directed to a catastrophic leak detector. In looking at the claim in the broadest interpretation a leak condition would encompass a small leak, a big leak and a catastrophic leak. There is no suggestion in the claim that catastrophic leaks are omitted from being detected. Applicant has argued that Kiewit does not disclose comparing the amplitudes of the respective spectral bands with predetermined values to determine a flow rate. Flow rate is not claimed. Applicant states that Kiewit utilizes particular amplitude as well as a ratio of amplitudes in order to trigger an alarm indicating a high flow rate. The claim is concerned with comparing amplitudes with predetermined values. Kiewit discloses this limitation as stated by the Applicant on page 5 of the amendment "...utilizing a particular amplitude at a particular frequency and the ratio of amplitudes in order to trigger an alarm". The Kiewit invention detects a leak condition, which is what the instant application has claimed.

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800